

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/934,122	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SCHECHTER ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Qing-Yuan Wu	<b>Art Unit</b> 2194	

**All Participants:**

 (1) Qing-Yuan Wu.

 (2) Rene Pereyra.
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 19 December 2006
**Time:** 3pm EST
**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**


Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**
*The examiner requested authorization for an examiner's amendment to amend claim 8. Authorization was granted by applicant.*
**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
**WILLIAM THORNBERRY**  
 SUPERVISORY TECHNOLOGICAL CENTER 2194

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 \_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)